UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,241	11/03/2003	Wamis Singhatat	ZL 0192	3783
23367 GENE WARZI	7590 05/01/200 ECHA	7 .	EXAMINER	
LINVATEC CO	ORPORATION		SWIGER III, JAMES L	
11311 CONCEPT BOULEVARD LARGO, FL 33773			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2			3733	
		(
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/700,241	SINGHATAT, WAMIS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James L. Swiger	3733		

Interview Summary	10/700,241	OINGHATAT, W	AIVIIO		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James L. Swiger	3733			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) James L. Swiger.	(3) Cary Reeves.				
(2) Eduardo C. Robert.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 26 April 2007.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)⊡ applicant's representative	·)			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	•			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 22</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Sklar (US PUB 2002/0055780), Huebner US Pat 5,234,430, Mahoney, III (US Pat 5,282,802, and Li (US Pat 5,707,395), and Wenstrom, JR. (US Pat 6,152,928).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	//A			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render rould render the (er the claims claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM	been filed, APPL DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS LATER TO		
		REO C. ROBERT RY PAITENT EXAM			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an					

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Representative for applicant wanted to discuss application of the Sklar reference. Examiner asserted that at least claim 1 still read on the prior art of record. The encircling and securing means was basis for a broad interpretation. Further, the anchor in the Sklar reference was interpreted with functional limitations wherein the anchor would be capable of engaging the graft, encircling means, and the bone tunnel together. Representative also wished to discuss the structural limitations of claim 22.